

Explaining the Technology Use Behavior of Privacy-Enhancing Technologies: The Case of Tor and JonDonym

> David Harborth, Sebastian Pape, Kai Rannenberg Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Germany



1. Motivation and Background

- Privacy-enhancing technologies (PETs) enable individuals to protect their privacy online (e.g., avoid browser fingerprinting or encrypt data transfer)
- Tor and JonDonym most relevant PETs with a large user bases
- Mostly technical research [4] without considering the users
- Survey with active users based on the technology acceptance model (TAM) [2] extended with PET-specific constructs perceived anonymity [1] and trust in PETs [3]

3. Qualitative Results

Coding of 626 participant quotes shows additional acceptance factors:

PET design (possibility of attacks by government agencies) "Many exit nodes are run by governmental intelligence organisations. Exit notes can collect unencrypted data."

	5
L.	M

compatibility (e.g., browsing not possible on certain websites) "It can't be used on all websites; therefore it is of limited use to me"



social issues (esp. Tor perceived as dubious by social environment) "Only social backlash from people thinking that Tor is mostly used for illegal activities."

economical issues (esp. for commercial service JonDonym) *"Fair pricing, pre-paid is an easy payment option"*

References:

- Zinaida Benenson, Anna Girard, and Ioannis Krontiris. User Acceptance Factors for Anonymous Credentials: An Empirical Investigation. 14th Annual Workshop on the Economics of Information Security (WEIS), 1–33, 2015.
- Fred D. Davis. Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. MI Quarterly, 13(3):319–340, 1989.
- Paul A. Pavlou. Consumer Acceptance of Electronic Commerce: Integrating Trust and Risk with the Technology Acceptance Model. IJEC, 7(3):101–134, 2003.
- Saad Saleh, Junaid Qadir, and Muhammad U. Ilyas. Shedding light on the dark corners of the internet: A survey of tor research. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 114:1 – 28, 2018.



4. Conclusion

- Trust in PETs and usability are major drivers of acceptance
- Trust in PETs less important for commercial PET (JonDonym) than for Tor
- Extended TAM and insights from qualitative analysis can increase the understanding of technology acceptance for PETs

Acknowledgements:

This work is supported by German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) [grant number 16KIS0371] and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program from the project CyberSec4Europe [grant agreement number 830929].





Federal Ministry

of Education

and Research